IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: <u>2025-004978-CA-01</u>

SECTION: <u>CA04</u>
JUDGE: <u>Mavel Ruiz</u>

Shondreika Brown et al

Plaintiff(s)

VS.

Estrella Franchising LLC

Defendant(s)

AGREED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (**Doc. No. 14**) (the "Motion"), the terms of which are set forth in a Settlement Agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendant Estrella Franchising, LLC d/b/a Estrella Insurance ("Estrella," and, together with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), with accompanying exhibits attached to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of the Motion (the "Settlement Agreement"). [1]

Having fully considered the issue, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the Motion and **ORDERS** as follows:

 Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only. The Settlement Agreement provides for a Settlement Class defined as follows:

All individuals residing in the United States whose personal information was accessed as a result of cybersecurity incident Defendant experienced in or around January 2025 ("Security Incident").

Excluded from the Settlement Class are (i) Defendant, its officers and directors; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) any

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 1 of 10

judges assigned to this case and their staff and family; and (iv) any other person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Security Incident or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge. Pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.220(e), the Court finds giving notice to the Settlement Class is justified. The Court finds it will likely be able to approve the proposed Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Court also finds it will likely be able to certify the Settlement Class for purposes of judgment on the Settlement because it meets all requirements of Rule 1.220.

Specifically, the Court provisionally finds for settlement purposes only that: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members would be impracticable; (b) there are issues of law and fact that are common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the Settlement Class Representative are typical, and the Settlement Class Representative seeks similar relief as the claims of the Settlement Class Members; (d) the Settlement Class Representative will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class; (e) questions of law or fact common to Settlement Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members; and (f) a class action and class settlement is superior to other methods available for a fair and efficient resolution of this Litigation.

- 2. <u>Settlement Class Representative and Settlement Class Counsel</u>. The Court finds that Plaintiffs Terry Lampkin and Shondreika Brown will likely satisfy the requirements of Rule 1.220(a) and should be appointed as the Settlement Class Representatives. Additionally, the Court finds Andrew Shamis of Shamis & Gentile, P.A., Joseph Kanee of Edelsberg Law, P.A. and Manuel Hiraldo of Hiraldo P.A. will likely satisfy the requirements of Rule 1.220(a) and should be appointed as Settlement Class Counsel.
- 3. <u>Preliminary Settlement Approval</u>. Upon preliminary review, the Court finds the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class and accordingly the Settlement is preliminarily approved. In making this

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 2 of 10

determination, the Court has considered the monetary and non-monetary benefits provided to the Settlement Class through the Settlement, the specific risks faced by the Settlement Class in prevailing on their claims, the good faith, arms' length negotiations between the Parties and absence of evidence of collusion in the Settlement, the effectiveness of the proposed method for notifying and distributing relief to the Settlement Class, the proposed manner of allocating benefits to Settlement Class Members, the equitable treatment of the Settlement Class Members under the Settlement, and all of the other factors required by Rule 1.220 and relevant case law.

4. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Florida Stat. § 26.012 and § 86.011 and personal jurisdiction over the parties before it. Additionally, venue is proper in this District pursuant to Florida Stat. § 47.011 and § 47.051.

5. Final Approval Hearing. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held on

6. <u>Settlement Administrator</u>. The Court appoints Atticus Administration LLC as the Settlement Administrator, with responsibility for class notice and settlement administration. The Settlement Administrator is directed to perform all tasks the Settlement Agreement requires. The Settlement Administrator's fees will be paid pursuant to the terms of the

Settlement Agreement.

- 7. <u>Notice</u>. The proposed notice program set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the Notices and Claim Form attached to the Settlement Agreement as **Exhibits 1, 2, and 3** are hereby approved. Non-material modifications to these Exhibits consistent with this Order may be made by the Settlement Administrator in consultation and agreement with the Parties, and without further order of the Court.
- 8. Findings Concerning Notice. The Court finds that the proposed form, content, and method of giving Notice to the Settlement Class as described in the Settlement Agreement and its exhibits: (a) will constitute the best practicable notice to the Settlement Class; (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, the terms of the proposed Settlement, and their rights under the proposed Settlement, including, but not limited to, their rights to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and to claim benefits provided under the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members; (d) meet all applicable requirements of law, including Rule 1.220; and (e) meet the requirements of the Due Process Clause(s) of the United States and Florida Constitutions. The Court further finds that the Notice provided for in the Settlement Agreement is written in plain language, uses simple terminology, and is designed to be readily understandable by Settlement Class Members.

The Settlement Administrator is directed to carry out the Notice program in conformance with the Settlement Agreement.

9. Exclusion from Class. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must individually sign and timely submit a written request to the designated address established by the Settlement Administrator in the manner provided in the Notice. The written request must clearly manifest a person's intent to be excluded from the

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 4 of 10

Settlement Class, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and must be submitted individually, i.e, one request is required for every Settlement Class Member seeking exclusion. To be effective, such requests for exclusion must be postmarked no later than the Opt-Out Deadline, which is no later than sixty (60) days from the Notice Deadline, and as stated in the Notice.

Within seven (7) days after the Opt-Out Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall furnish to Class Counsel and to Defendant's Counsel a complete list of all timely and valid requests for exclusion.

If a Final Approval Order and Judgment is entered, all Persons falling within the definition of the Settlement Class who do not timely and validly request to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall be bound by the terms of this Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order and Judgment. All Persons who submit valid and timely requests to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall not receive any cash benefits of and/or be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

10. Objections and Appearances. A Settlement Class Member (who does not submit a timely written request for exclusion) desiring to object to the Settlement Agreement may submit a timely written objection by the Objection Deadline and as stated in the Notice. The Notice shall instruct Settlement Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement Agreement to file their objections with the Court by the Objection Deadline. Any such objections to the Settlement Agreement must be written and must include all of the following: i) the name of the proceedings; (ii) the Settlement Class Member's full name and current mailing address; (iii) a statement that states with specificity the grounds for the objection, as well as any documents supporting the objection; (iv) the identity of any attorneys representing the objector; (v) a statement regarding whether the Settlement Class Member (or his/her attorney) intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; and (vi) the signature of the

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 5 of 10

Settlement Class Member or the Settlement Class Member's attorney.

To be timely, written notice of an objection must be filed with the Clerk of Court by the Objection Deadline, which is no later than sixty (60) days from the Notice Deadline.

Any Settlement Class Member who fails to comply with the requirements for objecting shall waive and forfeit any and all rights he or she may have to appear separately and/or to object to the Settlement Agreement, shall be bound by all the terms of the Settlement Agreement and by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the Action, and shall be precluded from seeking any review of the Settlement Agreement and/or Final Approval Order and Judgment by appeal or other means. The provisions stated in the Settlement Agreement shall be the exclusive means for any challenge to the Settlement Agreement. Any challenge to the Settlement Agreement or the Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be pursuant to appeal under the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure and not through a collateral attack.

11. <u>Claims Process</u>. Settlement Class Counsel and Estrella have created a process for Settlement Class Members to claim benefits under the Settlement. The Court preliminarily approves this process and directs the Settlement Administrator to make the Claim Form or its substantial equivalent available to Settlement Class Members in the manner specified in the Notice.

The Settlement Administrator will be responsible for effectuating the claims process.

Settlement Class Members who qualify for and wish to submit a Claim Form shall do so in accordance with the requirement and procedures specified in the Notice and the Claim Form. If the Final Approval Order and Judgment is entered, all Settlement Class Members who qualify for any benefit under the Settlement but fail to submit a claim in accordance with the requirements and procedures specified in the Notice and the Claim Form shall be forever barred from receiving any such benefit, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions in the Final Approval Order and Judgment, including the releases contained therein

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 6 of 10

- 12. Termination of Settlement. This Preliminary Approval Order shall become null and void and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties and of no force or effect if: (a) the Court does not enter this Preliminary Approval Order; (b) Settlement is not finally approved by the Court or is terminated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement; or (c) the Effective Date does not occur. In such event, (i) the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action prior to execution of the Settlement Agreement and shall jointly request all scheduled Action deadlines be reasonably extended by the Court to avoid prejudice to any Party or Party's counsel; (ii) the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and shall not be used in the Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and (iii) any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*.
- 13. <u>Use of Order</u>. This Preliminary Approval shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, liability, or the propriety of certifying any class in the Action. Nor shall this Preliminary Approval Order be i) construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against the Class Representative or any other Settlement Class Member that his or her claims lack merit or that the relief requested is inappropriate, improper, unavailable, or ii) as a waiver by any Party of any defense or claims they may have in this Action or in any other lawsuit.
- 14. Continuance of Hearing. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing and related deadlines without further written notice to the Settlement Class. If the Court alters any of those dates or times, the revised dates and times shall be posted on the Settlement Website maintained by the Settlement Administrator. The Court may approve the Settlement, with such modifications as may be agreed upon by the Parties, if appropriate, without further notice to the Settlement Class.
- 15. Stay of Litigation. All proceedings in the Action, other than those related to approval of the

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 7 of 10

Settlement Agreement, are hereby stayed. Further, any actions brought by Settlement Class Members concerning the Released Claims are hereby enjoined and stayed pending the Final Approval Hearing and the order issuing therefrom.

16. <u>Schedule and Deadlines</u>. The Court orders the following schedule of dates for the specified actions/further proceedings:

<u>Event</u>	<u>Deadline</u>
Defendant Provides Class Member Information To Claims Administrator	Within Ten (10) Days Of Entry Of Preliminary Approval Order
Deadline For Claims Administrator To Begin Sending Short Form Notice (By First Class USPS Mail)	Within Thirty (30) Days Of Entry Of Preliminary Approval Order ("Notice Deadline")
Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Expenses, and Service Award to Be Filed by Settlement Class Counsel	At Least 14 Days Prior To Opt-Out/ Objection Dates
Opt-Out/Objection Date Deadlines	60 Days After Notice Deadline
Claims Administrator Provides Parties With List Of Timely, Valid Opt-Outs	7 Days After Opt-Out Dates
Claims Deadline	90 Days After Notice Deadline
Motion For Final Approval To Be Filed By Class Counsel	At Least 14 Days Prior To Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	[COURT TO ENTER DATE AND TIME] No Earlier Than 120 Days After Entry Of Preliminary Approval Order

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 8 of 10

All defined terms in this Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order") have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise indicated.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Chambers at Miami-Dade County, Florida on this <u>15th day of April</u>, 2025.

2025-004978-CA-01 04-15-2025 3:59 PN

2025-004978-CA-01 04-15-2025 3:39 PM

Hon. Mavel Ruiz

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

Electronically Signed

No Further Judicial Action Required on **THIS MOTION**

CLERK TO RECLOSE CASE IF POST JUDGMENT

Electronically Served:

Adam Schwartzbaum, adam@edelsberglaw.com

Alfred John Saikali, asaikali@shb.com

Alfred John Saikali, nsantana@shb.com

Alfred John Saikali, mliggins@shb.com

Andrew J Shamis, Ashamis@shamisgentile.com

Andrew J Shamis, sbabani@shamisgentile.com

Andrew J Shamis, crosario@shamisgentile.com

Andrew J. Shamis, ashamis@shamisgentile.com

Angelica Gentile, efilings@shamisgentile.com

Ara K Ayvazian, aayvazian@shb.com

Ara K Ayvazian, mclick@shb.com

Ara K Ayvazian, ara-ayvazian-5231@ecf.pacerpro.com

Catherine Rosario, crosario@shamisgentile.com

Christopher Berman, cberman@shamisgentile.com

Edwin Elliott, Edwine@shamisgentile.com

Garrett Berg, gberg@shamisgentile.com

Gerald Lane, gerald@jibraellaw.com

Jennifer A McLoone, jmcloone@shb.com

Jennifer A McLoone, jennifer-mcloone-2769@ecf.pacerpro.com

Jennifer A McLoone, bizquierdo@shb.com

Joseph H. Kanee, joseph@edelsberglaw.com

Joseph Kanee, joseph@edelsberglaw.com

Joseph Kanee, stephanie@edelsberglaw.com

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 9 of 10

Joseph Kanee, joseph@edelsberglaw.com Leanna Loginov, lloginov@shamisgentile.com Manuel S Hiraldo, mhiraldo@hiraldolaw.com Scott Edelsberg, Scott@edelsberglaw.com Scott Edelsberg, Stephanie@edelsberglaw.com Zane Hedaya, zane@jibraellaw.com

Physically Served:

Case No: 2025-004978-CA-01 Page 10 of 10